

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/660,027	09/12/2000		William J. Rowe	CASE I	6144
22046	7590	12/29/2004		EXAM	INER
	. –	OLOGIES INC.	KADING, JOSHUA A		
DOCKET A		TRATOR CORNER ROAD - R	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOLMDEL, NJ 07733				2661	•

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		09/660,027	ROWE, WILLIAM J.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Joshua Kading	2661				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 August 2004.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
Application	on Papers						
9)□ 1	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	y (PTO-413)				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D	vate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/660,027

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

5

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10

15

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al. (W0 00 28712) in view of Naegel et al. (U.S. Patent 6,775,840 B1).

Regarding claim 8, Jones discloses "a method for use in connection with a cable modem system having a cable modem terminating system module and a spectrum analyzer each having a communication port, the method comprising the step of searching for a candidate channel having a specified bandwidth within the spectrum allocated for use as upstream channels that are indicated by said spectrum analyzer to have an acceptable noise level over a prescribed period of time (pg. 63, lines 18-33 searching for a candidate channel is the same as monitoring the upstream channels)."

20

25

However, Jones lacks what Naegel discloses, "said searching step failed to find a channel with an acceptable noise level over said prescribed period, the method further comprising the steps of: reducing said prescribed period of time; and repeating said searching step (col. 11, lines 47-col. 12, line 1 where the CPU determines the time period which the noise levels are monitored and as suggested by col. 11, lines 63-col. 12, line 1 this time period should be decreased when continuing to search for noise due to the chaotic nature of the system)."

Application/Control Number: 09/660,027

Art Unit: 2661

5

10

15

20

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to "reduce the time" and "repeat said searching step" for the purpose of monitoring the system for noise more frequently. The motivation for monitoring the system for noise more frequently is because the system is chaotic and noise does not occur periodically, it occurs randomly, so to determine a more accurate picture of system noise a smaller time period for monitoring is needed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, page 2, paragraphs 1-3, filed 10 August 2004, with respect to the rejection of claim 8 under 35 U.S.C. 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua Kading whose telephone number is (571) 272-3070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joshua Kading Examiner Art Unit 2661

10 December 21, 2004

BOB PHUNKULH